



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,695	09/08/2003	Takashi Kawashima	7217/69676	1235
530 75	590 06/30/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			MERCEDES, DISMERY E	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627 DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/657,695	KAWASHIMA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Dismery E. Mercedes	2627
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR STATUTORY PERIO	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 This action is FINAL . 2b) The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the since the sin	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre	
D'		TEX parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	JJ O.G. 213.
· ·	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdough Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,10 and 22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-9,11-21 and 23-42</u> is/are objected. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the company of the company is a subject to restriction and the company is a subject to restriction.	rawn from consideration. d to.	
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> in Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) accepted or b) object he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/657,695

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,10,22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1,10, 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 5,912,780) in view of Nishima et al. (US 6,236,800).

Yamada et al. discloses a recording and reproducing apparatus for a tape-shaped recording medium having an anisotropic property oblique in a direction relative to a thickness direction thereof, said recording and reproducing apparatus comprising: transfer means capable for transferring said tape-shaped recording medium in a forward direction in both a recording mode and reproducing mode and capable for transferring said tape-shaped recording medium in a reverse direction in both said recording mode and said reproducing mode (col.12, lines 15-28, wherein Yamada teaches that the recording and reproducing may be carried in the same direction forward or reverse); a recording and/or reproducing head arranged to create a recording track in a direction parallel to said forward and reverse transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording for scanning said tape-shaped recording medium along said recording track (as depicted in Fig.3, "15"); signal-processing unit connected to said recording and/or reproducing head and supplied with a signal to be recorded, and with an

Application/Control Number: 10/657,695

Art Unit: 2627

output signal from said reproducing head, said signal-processing unit for carrying out signal processing required for a recording operation on a signal be recorded and used for carrying out signal processing required for reproducing operation the output signal from said reproducing head (as depicted in Fig.3, "26,40"); and a control unit for controlling operations of said signal-processing unit, for forming a judgment as to whether said transfer direction of said tape-shaped recording medium transferred by said transfer means is said forward direction or said reverse direction, and for controlling said signal processing unit in accordance with a result of said judgment (as depicted in Fig.3, "24" and col.3, lines 11-32, col.4, lines 1-15, col.9, line 5- col.10, line 65).

As to Claims 1 and 10 have similar limitations to those treated in the above rejection, and are met by the references as discussed above.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2-9,11-21,23-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Shi et al. (US 5,550,684); Nishima et al. (US 6,236,800); Gooch (US 5,189,572); Kashida et al. (US 4,766,507); Aoki et al. (US 6,122,129); Fukuoka et al. (US 5,844,737).
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dismery E. Mercedes whose telephone number is 571-272-7558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, from 9:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne R. Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2627

DM

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER